1		Honorable Robert S. Lasnik
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		DISTRICT COURT T OF WASHINGTON
8		ATTLE
9	CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE)	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and) RASIER, LLC,	No. 17-cv-00370-RSL
	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND
11	riamuris,)	MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE
12	vs.	NOTED ON CALENDAR: March 5, 2019
13	THE CITY OF SEATTLE; SEATTLE) DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND)	
14	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES; and FRED)	
15	PODESTA, in his official capacity as Director,) Finance and Administrative Services, City of)	
16	Seattle,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (17-cv-00370)

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 684-8200

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to Local Rules 7(d)(1) and 10(g), Plaintiffs and Defendants ("Seattle") submit this stipulated motion to extend the deadlines set forth in the parties' January 15, 2019 Joint Status Report. For the reasons set forth herein, the parties stipulate and agree that the time for Seattle to file its Rule 56(d) motion shall be extended by two weeks, to March 22, 2019, and the opposition and reply deadlines will likewise be extended by two weeks.

- 1. This case, on remand from the Ninth Circuit, involves a facial challenge to a Seattle ordinance authorizing for-hire drivers to engage in collective negotiations over the terms of their contracts with ride-referral and similar companies (known under the ordinance as "driver coordinators"). Plaintiffs allege that the ordinance violates and is preempted by federal antitrust law.
- 2. On October 26, 2018, the parties submitted a Joint Status Report and Discovery plan agreeing to defer discovery pending the Court's resolution of a motion for discovery under Rule 56(d) to be filed by Seattle after Plaintiffs moved for summary judgment, and agreeing to a scheduling for the filing and briefing of such motions. The parties further agreed to suspend the filing and briefing of such motions while the Seattle City Council considered an amendment to the challenged ordinance.
 - 3. On January 11, 2019, the Mayor signed into law an amendment to the ordinance.
- 4. On January 15, 2019, the parties submitted a Joint Status Report providing that Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment would be filed by February 15, 2019; Seattle's Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by March 8, 2019; Plaintiffs' opposition to the Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by March 29, 2019; and Seattle's reply in support of the Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by April 12, 2019. The parties' proposed schedule provided that, if Seattle chose not to file a Rule 56(d) motion, its opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment would be due by March 29, 2019.
 - 5. Plaintiffs filed their motion for summary judgment on February 15, 2019.
- 6. Under the existing briefing schedule, Seattle's Rule 56(d) motion is due on March 8, 2019.
- 7. Seattle requires additional time to prepare its Rule 56(d) motion. The additional time is necessary because Seattle's counsel has a number of other commitments during the time frame in

which its motion must be researched and drafted. These commitments were not apparent to counsel for Seattle when the parties agreed to the proposed schedule in January, but make it impossible for Seattle to prepare its Rule 56(d) motion within the allotted time.

- 8. No party will be prejudiced by the proposed extension.
- 9. Accordingly, the parties stipulate to the proposed revised schedule for briefing and filing of motions:

Seattle's Rule 56(d) Motion: March 22, 2019

Plaintiffs' Opposition to Rule 56(d) Motion: April 12, 2019

Seattle's Reply in Support of Rule 56(d) Motion: April 26, 2019.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 5th day of March, 2019. Respectfully submitted,

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney

> By: /s/Stacey M. Leyton

WSBA #53757

Stephen P. Berzon (pro hac vice) Stacey M. Leyton (pro hac vice) P. Casey Pitts (pro hac vice) Altshuler Berzon LLP 177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94108 Phone: (415) 421-7151

Fax: (415) 362-8064

E-mail: sberzon@altber.com E-mail: sleyton@altber.com E-mail: cpitts@altber.com

Gregory C. Narver, WSBA #18127 Sara O'Connor-Kriss, WSBA #41569

Assistant City Attorneys Seattle City Attorney's Office 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050

Seattle, WA 98104

Phone: (206) 684-8233 — Gregory C. Narver Phone: (206) 615-0788 — Sara O'Connor-Kriss

Case 2:17-cv-00370-RSL Document 101 Filed 03/05/19 Page 4 of 7

Fax: (206) 684-8284 1 E-mail: gregory.narver@seattle.gov E-mail: sara.oconnor-kriss@seattle.gov 2 Attorneys for Defendants 3 4 By: s/ Christian G. Vergonis Michael A. Carvin 5 (D.C. Bar No. 366784) (pro hac vice) 6 Christian G. Vergonis (D.C. Bar No. 483293) 7 (pro hac vice) 8 Jacqueline M. Holmes (D.C. Bar No. 450357) 9 (pro hac vice) Robert Stander 10 (D.C. Bar No. 1028454) (pro hac vice) 11 JONES DAY 12 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 13 (202) 879-3939 (202) 616-1700 FAX 14 mcarvin@jonesday.com 15 Timothy J. O'Connell, WSBA 15372 16 STOEL RIVES LLP 600 University Street, Suite 3600 17 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 624-0900 18 (206) 386-7500 FAX Tim.oconnell@stoel.com 19 20 Steven P. Lehotsky (D.C. Bar No. 992725) 21 (pro hac vice) U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER 22 1615 H Street, N.W. 23 Washington, D.C. 20062 (202) 463-3187 24 slehotsky@uschamber.com 25 Attorneys for Plaintiff Chamber of Commerce of the 26 United States of America 27

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (17-cv-00370) - 3

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 684-8200

Case 2:17-cv-00370-RSL Document 101 Filed 03/05/19 Page 5 of 7

1	By: s/Robert J. Maguire Robert J. Maguire, WSBA 29909
2	Douglas C. Ross, WSBA 12811 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
3	Suite 3300
5	920 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104-1610
6	(206) 622-3150 (206) 757-7700 FAX
7	robmaguire@dwt.com
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Rasier, LLC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (17-cv-00370) - 4

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 684-8200

Case 2:17-cv-00370-RSL Document 101 Filed 03/05/19 Page 6 of 7

1	ORDER		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3	Dated this day of, 2019.		
4			
5		The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik	
6		Senior United States District Judge	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (17-cv-00370) - 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on this 5th day of March, 2019, I electronically filed this 2 STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE with the 3 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the 4 below-listed: 5 6 Timothy J. O'Connell tim.oconnell@stoel.com Michael A. Carvin mcarvin@jonesday.com 7 Jacqueline M. Holmes jholmes@jonesday.com Christian G. Vergonis cvergonis@jonesday.com 8 rstander@jonesday.com Robert Stander 9 lfclaffee@uschamber.com Lily Fu Claffee Steven P. Lehotsky slehotsky@uschamber.com 10 Warren Postman wpostman@uschamber.com Kathryn Comerford Todd ktodd@uschamber.com 11 Robert J. Maguire robmaguire@dwt.com Douglas C. Ross douglasross@dwt.com 12 13 DATED this 5th day of March, 2019, at Seattle, Washington. 14 By: /s/Stacey M. Leyton 15 sleyton@altber.com 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27